RNA-binding protein Csx1 mediates global control of gene expression in response to oxidative stress
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Introduction

Oxidative stress caused by reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as hydroxyl radicals (OH·), superoxide anions (O2−) and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), can be highly toxic, causing damage to proteins, lipids and nucleic acids. ROS are formed as normal by-products of aerobic metabolism or can be derived from exogenous sources. Damage caused by ROS can be mitigated by DNA repair enzymes, lipases, proteases and other enzymes. These enzymes are supported by anti-oxidant defense mechanisms that include non-enzymatic molecules such as glutathione and several vitamins, as well as ROS scavenger enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase and glutathione peroxidase. Failure to keep ROS under control can have severe consequences; indeed, damage from ROS is thought to play a major role in many of the most common and devastating diseases that afflict the human species (Finkel and Holbrook, 2000; Martin and Holbrook, 2002).

Fission yeast Spc1 (Sty1), a stress-activated mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) homologous to human p38, orchestrates global changes in gene expression in response to diverse forms of cytotoxic stress. This control is partly mediated through Atf1, a transcription factor homologous to human ATF2. How Spc1 controls Atf1, and how the cells tailor gene expression patterns to different forms of stress, are unknown. Here we describe Csx1, a novel protein crucial for survival of oxidative but not osmotic stress. Csx1 associates with and stabilizes atf1+ mRNA in response to oxidative stress. Csx1 controls expression of the majority of the genes induced by oxidative stress, including most of the genes regulated by Spc1 and Atf1. These studies reveal a novel mechanism controlling MAPK-regulated transcription factors and suggest how gene expression patterns can be customized to specific forms of stress. Csx1-like proteins in humans may perform similar tasks.
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Oxidative stress elicits a complex gene expression response that is orchestrated in large part by mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades (Robinson and Cobb, 1997; Chang and Karin, 2001; Kyriakis and Avruch, 2001). MAPKs have a variety of substrates ranging from effector kinases to transcription factors and translation factors. JNK1, p38 and ERK5, the three MAPKs activated by oxidative stress in mammalian cells, are controlled by specific MAPK/ERK kinases (MEKs) that are in turn controlled by MEK kinases (MKKs). Stress-activated MEKs activate MAPKs by phosphorylating specific tyrosine and threonine residues. MEK activity is counter-balanced by MAPK-directed tyrosine and threonine phosphatases. The existence of multiple MAPK cascades controlled by various combinations of upstream activators and inhibitors is thought to make these pathways extremely versatile and responsive to diverse forms of cytotoxic stress (Widmann et al., 1999).

The fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe has a stress-activated MAPK module that is functionally and structurally similar to the p38 pathway in mammals. This pathway is defined by the MAPK Spc1 (Sty1, Phh1) (Millar et al., 1995; Shiozaki and Russell, 1995; Kato et al., 1996). Spc1 is responsible for the cellular response to many types of stress such as heat, hyperosmotic media, UV light, oxidation and nutrient deprivation (Millar et al., 1995; Shiozaki and Russell, 1995, 1996; Degols et al., 1996; Degols and Russell, 1997). Like its mammalian counterparts, Spc1 is regulated by a specific MEK, Wis1 (Millar et al., 1995; Shiozaki and Russell, 1995), several MKKs (Samejima et al., 1997; Shieh et al., 1998; Buck et al., 2001; Quinn et al., 2002) and tyrosine phosphatases (Pyp1 and Pyp2) (Millar et al., 1995; Shiozaki and Russell, 1995; Shiozaki et al., 1997, 1998). A two-component phosphorylation system acts upstream of the MKKs (Nguyen et al., 2000; Buck et al., 2001). Activation of the pathway leads to increased nuclear localization of Spc1 and elevated expression of a wide range of stress response genes (Gaits et al., 1998; Gaits and Russell, 1999). Spc1 has been shown to control two transcription factors: Atf1 and Pap1 (Toda et al., 1991; Takeda et al., 1995; Shiozaki and Russell, 1996; Wilkinson et al., 1996; Gaits et al., 1998; Toone et al., 1998). Atf1 is required to control gene expression in response to a broad variety of insults, including oxidative stress. On the other hand, Pap1 is especially important in the response to low levels of H2O2 and several other ROS-inducing agents (Toone et al., 1998; Quinn et al., 2002). Combined activities of these transcription factors create a highly tuned response to stress.

Atf1 is related to the human transcription factor ATF2, a substrate of p38 and other stress-activated MAPKs. ATF2 functions as a homodimer or a heterodimer when paired...
with c-Jun. Increases in ATF2's transcriptional activity, its acetyltransferase activity and its cellular stability are associated with the dual phosphorylation on Thr69 and Thr71. Exactly how this phosphorylation regulates ATF2, and whether ATF2 is regulated by other means, is unknown (van Dam et al., 1995; Fuchs et al., 2000; Kawasaki et al., 2000). Similarly, Atf1 appears to function as a homodimer or a heterodimer with the c-Jun-related protein Pcr1. Spc1 regulates Atf1 phosphorylation, but the mechanism by which Spc1 controls Atf1 activity is unknown (Shiozaki and Russell, 1996; Wilkinson et al., 1996).

Regulation of mRNA stability is an important mechanism of modulating gene expression (Mitchell and Tollervey, 2000; Guhaniyogi and Brewer, 2001; Fan et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002). The steady-state level of any mRNA is regulated not only by its transcription rate, but also by its rate of degradation. The best characterized mRNA cis-regulatory elements are the adenosine/uridine-rich elements (AREs) present in the 3'-untranslated region (UTR) of many mRNAs that encode proto-oncogenes, growth factors and cytokines. These AU-rich elements direct the deadenylation and consequent degradation of mRNAs (Chen and Shyu, 1995; Wilusz et al., 2001). AREs interact with trans-acting factors such as AUFI (Zhang et al., 1993; DeMaria and Brewer, 1996), HuR (Brennan and Steitz, 2001), tristetraprolin (TTP) (Carballo et al., 1998) and TIA-1/TIAR (Gueydan et al., 1999; Piecyk et al., 2000). Some of these AU-rich element-binding proteins (AUBPs) target ARE-containing mRNAs for degradation by the exosome (Chen et al., 2001; Mukherjee et al., 2002). Interestingly, degradation of several ARE-containing mRNAs is regulated by p38 through its downstream effector, the kinase MAPKAPK2 (Winzen et al., 1999; Lasa et al., 2000). The obvious potential targets for this regulatory pathway are the AUBPs (Carballo et al., 2001; Kontoyiannis et al., 2001; Mahtani et al., 2001; Ming et al., 2001; Frevel et al., 2003).

Recent studies demonstrated ARE-targeted degradation of a specific mRNA species in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, indicating broad evolutionary conservation of this mechanism of controlling gene expression. Turnover of TIF51A mRNA, which encodes translation initiation factor eIF5A, and reporter transcripts containing mammalian AREs, was controlled by the RNA-binding protein Pub1 (Vasudevan and Peltz, 2001). Interestingly, the budding yeast p38 homolog Hog1 was shown to be essential for stabilization of these ARE-containing mRNAs (Vasudevan and Peltz, 2001). Thus, MAPks also appear to regulate mRNA stability in lower eukaryotes.

The Spc1 pathway in fission yeast and the p38 pathway in mammals respond to diverse forms of stress by generating gene expression patterns that are tailored to each type of stress. For example, osmotic and oxidative stress responses are both transmitted by Spc1 and yet lead to different patterns of gene expression. How this specificity is achieved by a single pathway is unknown. Here we report the outcome of a genetic screen designed to discover mutants that are specifically involved in the response to oxidative stress. We describe an RNA-binding protein Csx1, that regulates global gene expression after oxidative stress and is essential for the oxidative stress response but dispensable for the osmotic stress response. Csx1 stabilizes atf1+ mRNA after oxidative stress, and thus reveals a novel mechanism of controlling the activity of transcription factors that are regulated by stress-activated MAPK cascades.

Results

Csx1 is required for survival of oxidative stress

An insertional mutagenesis screen using the ura4+ marker was carried out to identify mutants that were sensitive to oxidative stress but not osmotic stress. This approach yielded ~500 stable uracil prototroph transformants, of

Fig. 1. Characterization of Csx1 as an oxidation-specific protein. (A) Serial dilutions (10^4–10^9) of wild-type, spc1Δ, 1F7 mutant and 9B8 mutant cultures were plated in rich medium (YES), rich medium with 0.8 M KCl (osmotic stress) and rich medium with 0.4 mM H_2O_2 (oxidative stress). Pictures were taken after incubation of the plates for 3–5 days at 30°C. (B) RNA recognition motif (RRM) conservation among Csx1, mammalian HuR and mammalian TIA-1. The numbers indicate the level of similarity. (C) Survival of wild-type, cxs1Δ and spc1Δ under oxidative (1 mM H_2O_2) or osmotic (0.8 M KCl) stress. Cultures were incubated for the indicated times in the presence of stress and cells plated in rich medium (non-stress conditions). Colonies were counted after 3–5 days incubation at 30°C.
which four were profoundly sensitive to oxidative stress caused by H$_2$O$_2$. Three of these four strains (1F7, 8F7 and 26F1) were also sensitive to osmotic stress caused by KCl, similar to the phenotype of an spo1Δ MAPK mutant (Figure 1A and data not shown). Strains 8F7 and 26F1 were refractory to the inverse PCR method required to identify integration sites, but we were able to show that 1F7 contained an _ura4+ _ interruption of the _wis1* _gene.

The fourth mutant, 9B8, was insensitive to osmotic stress (Figure 1A). DNA sequencing of inverse PCR products showed that 9B8 contained an _ura4+ _ interruption of the _csx1* _gene. This gene was identified previously as a multicopy suppressor of the lethality of _spc1_ _Δ_ gene encodes a protein tyrosine phosphatase that dephosphorylates Spc1 as part of the stress adaptation response. Expression of _pyp2_ mRNA is induced by various forms of stress via an Spc1–Atf1-dependent pathway (Figure 2A) (Millar _et al._, 1995; Degols _et al._, 1996). Therefore, we measured the expression of _pyp2_ mRNA in _spc1_ _Δ_ mutants. As shown in Figure 2B, H$_2$O$_2$ induction of _pyp2_ mRNA was abolished in _spc1_ _Δ_ cells.

We then tested whether the activating TGY motif phosphorylation of Spc1 carried out by Wis1 was dependent on Csx1 function. As shown in Figure 2C, H$_2$O$_2$ induction of _pyp2_ mRNA was more sensitive to H$_2$O$_2$ than the _atf1_ _Δ_ mutant, whereas the _spc1_ _Δ_ _atf1_ _Δ_ double mutant was equivalent to the _spc1_ _Δ_ strain. These findings suggested that Atf1-dependent control of gene expression required Csx1, but that Csx1 has additional functions that did not involve Atf1. Similar epistasis studies were carried out with the _spc1_ _Δ_ mutation. The _csx1_ _Δ_ single mutant was less sensitive to H$_2$O$_2$ than the _spc1_ _Δ_ mutant, whereas the _csx1_ _Δ_ _spc1_ _Δ_ double mutant was more sensitive than the _spc1_ _Δ_ strain. These findings were consistent with the idea that Csx1 and Spc1 have independent functions in oxidative stress tolerance.

**Stress-induced phosphorylation of Csx1**

Immunoblot analysis detected multiple electrophoretic mobility species of Csx1 (Figure 3A and C). Osmotic stress had no effect on Csx1 electrophoretic mobility, but oxidative stress caused Csx1 protein to migrate with slower mobility, indicative of a possible phosphorylation event. Expression of _pyp2_ mRNA during oxidative stress (Figure 1C). Csx1 controls expression of _pyp2_ mRNA during oxidative stress

The _pyp2_ gene encodes a protein tyrosine phosphatase that dephosphorylates Spc1 as part of the stress adaptation response. Expression of _pyp2_ mRNA is induced by various forms of stress via an Spc1–Atf1-dependent pathway (Figure 2A) (Millar _et al._, 1995; Degols _et al._, 1996). Therefore, we measured the expression of _pyp2_ mRNA in _spc1_ _Δ_ mutants. As shown in Figure 2B, H$_2$O$_2$ induction of _pyp2_ mRNA was abolished in _spc1_ _Δ_ cells. These findings indicated that Csx1 is not necessary for Spc1 activation.

Atf1 is required for induced expression of _pyp2_ mRNA in response to various forms of stress (Figure 2A). Data showing that Csx1 is also required for _pyp2_ mRNA induction prompted genetic epistasis studies of H$_2$O$_2$ survival. As shown in Figure 2D, the _csx1_ _Δ_ mutant was more sensitive to H$_2$O$_2$ than the _atf1_ _Δ_ mutant, whereas the _spc1_ _Δ_ _atf1_ _Δ_ double mutant was equivalent to the _spc1_ _Δ_ strain. These findings suggested that Atf1-dependent control of gene expression required Csx1, but that Csx1 has additional functions that did not involve Atf1. Similar epistasis studies were carried out with the _spc1_ _Δ_ mutation. The _csx1_ _Δ_ single mutant was less sensitive to H$_2$O$_2$ than the _spc1_ _Δ_ mutant, whereas the _csx1_ _Δ_ _spc1_ _Δ_ double mutant was more sensitive than the _spc1_ _Δ_ strain. These findings were consistent with the idea that Csx1 and Spc1 have independent functions in oxidative stress tolerance.

**Stress-induced phosphorylation of Csx1**

Immunoblot analysis detected multiple electrophoretic mobility species of Csx1 (Figure 3A and C). Osmotic stress had no effect on Csx1 electrophoretic mobility, but oxidative stress caused Csx1 protein to migrate with slower mobility, indicative of a possible phosphorylation event. Expression of _pyp2_ mRNA during oxidative stress (Figure 1C). Csx1 controls expression of _pyp2_ mRNA during oxidative stress

The _pyp2_ gene encodes a protein tyrosine phosphatase that dephosphorylates Spc1 as part of the stress adaptation response. Expression of _pyp2_ mRNA is induced by various forms of stress via an Spc1–Atf1-dependent pathway (Figure 2A) (Millar _et al._, 1995; Degols _et al._, 1996). Therefore, we measured the expression of _pyp2_ mRNA in _spc1_ _Δ_ mutants. As shown in Figure 2B, H$_2$O$_2$ induction of _pyp2_ mRNA was abolished in _spc1_ _Δ_ cells. These findings indicated that Csx1 is not necessary for Spc1 activation.

Atf1 is required for induced expression of _pyp2_ mRNA in response to various forms of stress (Figure 2A). Data showing that Csx1 is also required for _pyp2_ mRNA induction prompted genetic epistasis studies of H$_2$O$_2$ survival. As shown in Figure 2D, the _csx1_ _Δ_ mutant was more sensitive to H$_2$O$_2$ than the _atf1_ _Δ_ mutant, whereas the _spc1_ _Δ_ _atf1_ _Δ_ double mutant was equivalent to the _spc1_ _Δ_ strain. These findings suggested that Atf1-dependent control of gene expression required Csx1, but that Csx1 has additional functions that did not involve Atf1. Similar epistasis studies were carried out with the _spc1_ _Δ_ mutation. The _csx1_ _Δ_ single mutant was less sensitive to H$_2$O$_2$ than the _spc1_ _Δ_ mutant, whereas the _csx1_ _Δ_ _spc1_ _Δ_ double mutant was more sensitive than the _spc1_ _Δ_ strain. These findings were consistent with the idea that Csx1 and Spc1 have independent functions in oxidative stress tolerance.
oxidative stress (Figure 3A). However, neither this mutant, nor any other mutant that had any other combination of single or multiple phosphorylation sites, had any obvious effect on survival of oxidative stress (Figure 3E). Thus, it appears that stress-induced phosphorylation of Csx1, controlled directly or indirectly by Spc1, is not vital for the function of Csx1 in the response to oxidative stress.

Csx1 controls expression of atf1+ and pcr1+ mRNA during oxidative stress

The defect in pyp2 mRNA expression in the csx1Δ mutant might be explained if Csx1 controls expression of atf1+ mRNA. To test this model, the atf1+ mRNA expression level was measured in csx1Δ cells exposed to H2O2 (Figure 4A and B). This analysis showed that the large increase in atf1+ mRNA that is induced by H2O2 in wild-type cells was abolished in csx1Δ cells. This decrease correlated with a large drop in the amount of Atf1 protein (Figure 4C). The H2O2-induced increase in expression of pcr1+ mRNA, which encodes a binding partner of Atf1, was similarly eliminated in csx1Δ cells (Figure 4A). The mRNA expression levels of two other transcription factor genes involved in oxidative stress, pap1+ and prr1+, were unaffected by the csx1Δ mutation (Figure 4A).

The csx1Δ mutation specifically affects survival of fission yeast cells in the presence of oxidative stress (Figure 1A–C). We analyzed the effect of csx1Δ mutation on atf1+ mRNA accumulation in response to osmotic stress. As shown in Figure 4D, osmotic stress triggers an accumulation of atf1+ mRNA. This accumulation was not perturbed in the csx1Δ mutant. Thus, the stress-sensitive phenotypes of csx1Δ mutants correlate with stress-specific defects in atf1+ mRNA accumulation.

The steady-state level of atf1+ mRNA in wild-type cells increase to 5–10 times above the non-stressed levels when treated with H2O2 for 1 h (Figure 4A). In the csx1Δ mutant, atf1+ mRNA levels decreased after 1 h of oxidation treatment (Figure 4A). In order to obtain more information about the functional relationship between Spc1 and Csx1, we analyzed the effect of the spec1Δ mutation on the atf1+ mRNA level. The level of atf1+ mRNA in a spec1Δ mutant dropped to half in only 15 min, being almost undetectable
observed a profound reduction in the number of genes that showed increased expression after oxidative stress in the csi1Δ mutant (Figure 5B).

This defect was also reflected in the hierarchical cluster analysis of gene expression after oxidative stress in csi1Δ, atf1Δ and spc1Δ mutants (Figure 5C). Venn diagram analysis was performed to evaluate dependency relationships among 461 genes that were induced at least 2-fold at one or both of the time points (15 or 60 min) after exposure to oxidative stress in wild-type cells. Of the 350 genes whose expression was increased at least 2-fold at 15 or 60 min in an Spc1-dependent manner, 212 were also regulated by Atf1 (Figure 5D). Of the 138 remaining genes, expression of 99 was regulated by Csx1. Almost all the genes that were regulated by Atf1 were also dependent on Csx1 (Figure 5D), but there were a significant number of genes that were regulated by Csx1 but not Atf1. These findings were consistent with the genetic epistasis analysis of atf1Δ and csi1Δ mutants (Figure 2D). There was a large overlap of the genes regulated by both Spc1 and Csx1, but there were also significant numbers of the genes that were regulated independently by Spc1 or Csx1. These findings were consistent with the synergistic interactions involving spc1Δ and csi1Δ mutations (Figure 2D).

**Fig. 4.** Effect of csi1Δ mutation on the expression of stress transcription factors. (A) Northern blot of atf1+, pcr1+, pap1+ or prr1+ mRNAs after treatment of wild-type or csi1Δ cells with 1 mM H2O2. Aliquots were collected at the indicated times after addition of H2O2. (B) Northern blot of atf1+ mRNA after treatment of wild-type or csi1Δ cells with 0.3 mM H2O2. Aliquots were collected at the indicated times after addition of H2O2. (C) Western blot showing Atf1-HA in wild-type or csi1Δ cells after treatment with 1 mM H2O2. (D) Northern blot of atf1+ mRNA after treatment of wild-type or csi1Δ cells with 0.8 M KCl. Aliquots were collected at the indicated times after addition of KCl. (E) Northern blot of atf1+ mRNA after treatment of wild-type, spc1Δ or csi1Δ spc1Δ cells with 1 mM H2O2.

after 60 min of treatment (Figure 4E). The double mutant csi1Δ spc1Δ showed a profile of atf1+ mRNA expression almost identical to the single mutant spc1Δ (Figure 4E). These results show that both Csx1 and Spc1 contribute to the increased steady-state levels of atf1+ mRNA after oxidative stress, with Spc1 having a somewhat more profound effect.

**Global patterns of gene expression controlled by Csx1 in response to oxidative stress**

The evidence that Csx1 controls expression of atf1+ and pcr1+ mRNAs suggested that Csx1 was likely to exert global control of gene expression in response to oxidative stress. To explore this possibility, DNA microarrays were used to characterize changes in expression profiles of all known and predicted genes in the fission yeast genome. Microarrays were hybridized with probes derived from RNA harvested from wild-type and csi1Δ strains exposed to 1 mM H2O2 for 0, 15 or 60 min (see the Supplementary data available at The EMBO Journal Online). This analysis showed that the global pattern of gene expression induction in wild-type cells was severely dampened in csi1Δ cells (Figure 5A). We compared the number of genes that were induced 2- or 5-fold in wild-type or csi1Δ cells, 15 or 60 min after treatment with 1 mM H2O2. We observed a profound reduction in the number of genes that were expressed in the csi1Δ strain compared to the wild-type strain (Figure 5A and B). This defect was also reflected in the hierarchical cluster analysis of gene expression after oxidative stress in csi1Δ, atf1Δ and spc1Δ mutants (Figure 5C). Venn diagram analysis was performed to evaluate dependency relationships among 461 genes that were induced at least 2-fold at one or both of the time points (15 or 60 min) after exposure to oxidative stress in wild-type cells. Of the 350 genes whose expression was increased at least 2-fold at 15 or 60 min in an Spc1-dependent manner, 212 were also regulated by Atf1 (Figure 5D). Of the 138 remaining genes, expression of 99 was regulated by Csx1. Almost all the genes that were regulated by Atf1 were also dependent on Csx1 (Figure 5D), but there were a significant number of genes that were regulated by Csx1 but not Atf1. These findings were consistent with the genetic epistasis analysis of atf1Δ and csi1Δ mutants (Figure 2D). There was a large overlap of the genes regulated by both Spc1 and Csx1, but there were also significant numbers of the genes that were regulated independently by Spc1 or Csx1. These findings were consistent with the synergistic interactions involving spc1Δ and csi1Δ mutations (Figure 2D).

**Csx1 is a cytoplasmic protein that controls atf1+ mRNA turnover**

As mentioned above, Csx1 contains three RRM motifs, suggesting that it could be involved in post-transcriptional regulation of mRNA. Newly synthesized mRNA is spliced and 3′ polyadenylated in the nucleus prior to its export to the cytoplasm where it is translated and eventually degraded. We determined the intracellular localization of Csx1 to evaluate whether Csx1 acts before or after nuclear export. The genomic copy of csi1 was modified to encode a protein with a C-terminal green fluorescent protein (GFP) tag. This strain survived oxidative stress with levels comparable with wild-type, indicating that the activity of Csx1-GFP was intact (data not shown). As shown in Figure 6A, Csx1-GFP was detected in the cytoplasm and appeared to be excluded from the nucleus. This pattern of Csx1-GFP localization was unaffected by oxidative stress.

These results suggested that Csx1 was likely to control atf1+ mRNA turnover. To evaluate this possibility, northern analysis of atf1+ and pcr1+ mRNAs was performed with cells that were treated with 1,10-phenanthroline, a potent transcriptional inhibitor (Parker et al., 1991; Gallagher et al., 1996). In the presence of 1,10-phenanthroline, the abundance of mRNAs is governed solely by the rate of turnover. In wild-type cells, atf1+ and pcr1+ mRNAs had a half-life of >60 min. In the presence of oxidative stress, the half-life of atf1+ and pcr1+ mRNAs in the absence of stress was >60 min, similar to wild-type. However, in the presence of oxidative stress, the half-life of atf1+ mRNA in csi1Δ, spc1Δ or csi1Δ spc1Δ was ~12 min and the half-life of pcr1+ mRNA in the same mutants dropped to 9–12 min, much shorter than wild-type (Figure 6B and C). Osmotic stress-treated cells showed atf1+ or pcr1+ mRNAs half-lives very similar to untreated cells (Figure 6B). These results showed that Csx1 and Spc1 are required to stabilize atf1+ and pcr1+ mRNAs in cells exposed to oxidative stress. Their effects are very显著.
similar and not additive, indicating either that their functions are dependent on each other or that each of them control an independent limiting step required to maintain the normal rate of atf1+ and pcr1+ mRNA turnover.

Csx1 binds to atf1+ mRNA under oxidative stress

To evaluate whether the stabilization of atf1+ mRNA by Csx1 involves a direct physical interaction between Csx1 and atf1+ mRNA, we performed northern blot analysis of mRNA associated with TAP-tagged Csx1 that had been affinity purified from fission yeast (see Materials and methods). In the absence of oxidative stress, we were unable to detect atf1+ mRNA associated with Csx1-TAP (Figure 7A). However, following exposure to H2O2, atf1+ mRNA was detected in the Csx1-TAP preparation and absent in the untagged control (Figure 7A). This binding was oxidative stress specific, since atf1+ mRNA was not detected with Csx1-TAP obtained from cells treated with osmotic stress (Figure 7A). Additionally, we tested the binding capacity of Csx1-TAP to leu1 mRNA that is not regulated by Csx1 activity. As shown in Figure 7B,
Csx1-TAP did not bind *leu1* mRNA under oxidative stress. These results suggested that regulation of *atf1* mRNA turnover was mediated by direct interaction with Csx1.

**Discussion**

**Csx1 is an essential regulator of the fission yeast oxidative stress response**

In this study, we have isolated fission yeast *cxs1* as a gene essential for the cellular survival of oxidative stress. One of the key functions of *cxs1* appears to be the regulation of Atf1, a bZIP transcription factor required for oxidative stress-induced expression of >200 genes. The Csx1 protein has RRM domains and binds to *atf1* mRNA under oxidative stress conditions, stabilizing *atf1* mRNA and keeping normal levels of Atf1 protein after oxidative stress. Thus, in the *cxs1Δ* mutant, a reduced level of Atf1 under oxidative stress results in significantly compromised expression of the Atf1-dependent genes, leading to the stress-sensitive phenotype.

On the other hand, *cxs1Δ* mutants are more sensitive to oxidative stress than *atf1Δ*, indicating that Csx1 has other important functions in the cellular responses to oxidative stress other than the regulation of Atf1. Indeed, the microarray analysis of wild-type and *cxs1Δ* cells under oxidative stress has identified a number of genes whose expression is dependent on *cxs1* but not *atf1*. We predict that these *cxs1*-dependent genes are regulated either by...
It is possible that Spc1 controls the stability of \textit{atf1} mRNA half-life as well as \textit{atf1} transcription, and the \textit{spc1}Δ mutation results in a significant decrease in the total amount of \textit{atf1} mRNA.

\textbf{Csx1 and Spc1 control the atf1}+ mRNA turnover only under oxidative stress conditions. This may imply that only under oxidative stress is there an active mechanism of \textit{atf1}+ mRNA degradation, or that the \textit{atf1}+ mRNA degradation is compensated by other unknown stabilizing factor(s) under other stress conditions. Such a hypothetical stabilizing factor would not be regulated by Spc1, since \textit{spc1}Δ cells have no defect in \textit{atf1}+ mRNA stability under osmotic stress conditions.

The \textit{cis} elements responsible for the effects of Csx1 on the stability of \textit{atf1}+ and \textit{per1}+ mRNAs are not known yet. The presence of AREs in their 3'-UTRs could indicate that those are the sites of regulation. However, the presence of similar AREs in the 3'-UTRs of \textit{pap1}+ and \textit{per1}+, that are not regulated by Csx1, indicate that those AREs cannot be the only sites of regulation. Future experiments will address that question.

Consistent with the Csx1 function limited to oxidative stress conditions, Csx1 is phosphorylated in response to oxidative stress but not osmotic stress (Figure 3). The Csx1 phosphorylation is dependent on Spc1 MAPK, further implying the interaction between Csx1 and the Spc1 MAPK cascade. MAPKs have been shown to promote phosphorylation of several RNA-binding proteins such as TTP (Mahtani \textit{et al}, 2001), nucleolin (Yang \textit{et al}, 2002), hnRNP-K (Habelhah \textit{et al}, 2001) and, more recently, Rnc1 in fission yeast (Sugiura \textit{et al}, 2003). TTP is involved in ARE mRNA degradation (Lai \textit{et al}, 1999) and it seems to be part of the p38 pathway (Carballo \textit{et al}, 2001; Stoecklin \textit{et al}, 2001). Nucleolin binds to several mRNAs involved in the response to genotoxic stress, but the function of this binding is unknown (Yang \textit{et al}, 2002), and hnRNP-K accumulates in the cytoplasm after ERK phosphorylation and inhibits mRNA translation of some mRNAs (Habelhah \textit{et al}, 2001). In all these cases, phosphorylation seems to have a role in the function of those RNA-binding proteins. However, we have not succeeded in determining the role of Csx1 phosphorylation. Different combinations of the phosphorylation site mutations in Csx1 show no apparent effect on the Csx1 function, when tested by its capacity to complement the oxidative stress sensitivity phenotype. It is possible that phosphorylation of other sites in the Csx1 protein is below the levels detectable by mass spectrometry analysis or that some important phosphorylation is lost during the protein purification process. We also cannot exclude the possibility that phosphorylation does not regulate Csx1 function; the stress specificity of the Csx1 activity might be achieved by other types of modification. Alternatively, Csx1 could have a constitutive activity that counteracts a mRNA degradation mechanism activated only under oxidative stress.

Our microarray data indicate that many genes are coordinately regulated by Csx1 and Spc1. On the other hand, there are many genes that are Csx1 dependent but Spc1 independent (46), and vice versa (65). These results are consistent with the genetic analysis of the \textit{csx1}Δ\textit{spc1}Δ double mutant, which showed higher sensitivity to \textit{H$_2$O$_2$} than either of the single mutants. It is likely that, in addition to the common role in the control of \textit{atf1}+ mRNA stability, Csx1 and Spc1 have independent functions in oxidative stress-induced gene expression. The coordinated efforts of Csx1 and Spc1 increase the specificity of the response to oxidative stress, leading to increased survival in this condition.

\textbf{RNA-binding proteins in stress response}

To our knowledge, this is the first report describing a central and specific role for an RNA-binding protein in cellular resistance to oxidative stress. It was unexpected that global gene expression is regulated not only by transcription factors but also by a protein binding to mRNAs to modulate their stability.

One of the most important factors that will dictate the fate of a cell after being exposed to stressful conditions (e.g. oxidative stress) is how rapidly the protective responses are activated. MAPK cascade–transcription factor modules have been shown to react very rapidly...
and efficiently to stressful situations. Once the signal is transmitted to MAPK, activated MAPK enters the nucleus and activates transcription factors, bringing about synthesis de novo of many mRNAs necessary for survival. However, it is apparently advantageous for cells to have an additional system that, once the stress is sensed, can stabilize the pre-existing cytoplasmic mRNAs to increase rapidly the protein synthesis required for cellular protection. Such a mechanism would also be less energy demanding in comparison with transcription de novo, which might be particularly important under stressful conditions. Thus, binding and stabilization of pre-existing mRNAs by Csx1 in the cytoplasm may significantly increase the probability of cell survival upon acute oxidative stress.

The conservation of the responses to stress between fission yeast and mammalian cells, and the sequence conservation of Csx1 protein, suggest that Csx1-like proteins may have similar function in higher eukaryotes.

Materials and methods

**Yeasts strains, media and general methods**

Basic cell growth and media conditions were described before (Moreno et al., 1991). Tagging or deletion of Csx1 was performed using the kanamycin resistance gene as described (Bähler et al., 1998).

The ura4Δ insertion mutagenesis was performed as described (Chua et al., 2000; Tanaka and Russell, 2001). The Ura4 ORF was amplified by PCR, and wild-type S.pombe cells were transformed. The ura4Δ colonies were selected further for oxidative stress sensitivity and stability of the ura4Δ prototrophy. The positive clones (H2O2-sensitive and ura4Δ prototrophy) were analyzed by reverse PCR to identify sites of insertion.

All strains used were h- ura4-D18 leu1-32 PR109 wild-type; MR3211 wis1-1F7; MR3212 csl1-9B8; MR3213 csl1::kanMX6; K1497 attf::ura4Δ (Shiozaki and Russell, 1996); K1366 spc1::ura4Δ (Shiozaki and Russell, 1995); MR3219 csl1::kanMX6 attf::ura4Δ; MR3218 csl1::kanMX6 spc1::ura4Δ; MR3217 csl1::GFP(kaMY6); MR3216 csl1::TAP(kaMX6); K13176 spc1::Ha6Hist(ura4Δ) (Shiozaki and Russell, 1995); MR3215 csl1::kanMX6 spc1::Ha6Hist(ura4Δ); MR3254 csl1::HA(kaMX6); MR3255 csl1::HA(kaMX6) spc1::ura4Δ; MR3256 csl1::kanMX6 leu1-32::csl1::Ha(kaMX6) spc1::ura4Δ; MR3257 csl1::kanMX6 leu1-32::csl1::HA(kaMX6) spc1::ura4Δ; MR3258 csl1::kanMX6 leu1-32::csl1::HA(kaMX6) spc1::ura4Δ; S42A/S54A/S455A; MR3390 csl1::kanMX6 spc1::ura4Δ; S42A/S54A/S455A/S409-418A; K1779 attf::Ha6Hist; and MR3390 csl1::kanMX6 attf::Ha6Hist.

For plate survival assays, serial dilutions of yeast culture were plated in media containing either 1 M KCl (osmotic stress) or 0.4 mM H2O2 (oxidative stress). For liquid survival assays, cells were grown for different times in the presence of KCl or H2O2 and then plated in rich media and colonies counted after 3–4 days at 30°C. Mutants: S42A, S54A, S291A, S409-418A (SacGSSNSSGGSS115 to Aa6GAANAGAAtA141) and S455A.

**RNA and microarray methods**

Total RNA was obtained using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) as recommended by the manufacturer. Total RNA (5–15 μg) was resolved by electrophoresis in agarose-formaldehyde gels. After transferring to nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham) and staining with methylene blue, the different mRNAs were detected using specific probes, commonly the ORF of the genes amplified by PCR. The probes were labeled with 32P-dCTP using Prime-It II (Stratagene).

For RNA extraction of TAP-purified material, we collected cells by filtration and washed them with ice-cold water. RNA extraction was performed as described by Gari et al. (2001) with several modifications. Cells were resuspended in lysis buffer II [10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 3 mM MgCl2, 40 mM KCl, 5% glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)] plus RNase/protease/phosphatase inhibitors. Cells were broken by vortexing in the presence of glass beads. Equal amounts of extract were incubated in the presence of IgG beads. After extensive washing with lysis buffer, IgG beads were treated with Trizol reagent and RNA extracted as described before.

mRNA half-life experiments were performed using 1,10-phenanthroline (Sigma) to inhibit transcription (Parket et al., 1991; Gallagher et al., 1996).

**Protein methods**

Detection of phosphorylated Spc1 using anti-phosphorylated p38 (Thr180/Tyr182) MAPK antibody (New England Biolabs) and immunoprecipitation were performed as described (Gaits et al., 1998). The hemagglutinin (HA) epitope was detected using mouse monoclonal antibodies (12CA5). Phosphatase treatment was carried out using λ phosphatase on immunoprecipitated Csx1-HA as substrate.

**Microscopy**

Cells were grown in minimal medium until the exponential phase of growth, and the presence of GFP-specific fluorescence was tested by microscopy (Nikon Eclipse E800 microscope).

**Mass-spectrometry**

Csx1-TAP protein was purified from fission yeast cells treated with 1 mM H2O2 using the method described before (Saitoh et al., 2002). Csx1 was proteolyzed and the peptide mixture analyzed by multidimensional protein identification technology (MudPIT) as described (MacCoss et al., 2002).

**Supplementary data**

Supplementary data are available at The EMBO Journal Online.
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